

Stability of a Volterra Integral Equation on Time Scales

Alaa E. Hamza¹, and Ahmed G. Ghallab²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt.

E-mail: hamzaaeg2003@yahoo.com

² Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,

Fayoum University, Fayoum, Egypt.

E-mail: agg00@fayoum.edu.eg

Abstract

In this paper, we study Hyers-Ulam stability for integral equation of Volterra type in time scale setting. Moreover we study the stability of the considered equation in Hyers-Ulam-Rassias sense. Our technique depends on successive approximation method, and we use time scale variant of induction principle to show that (1.1) is stable on unbounded domains in Hyers-Ulam-Rassias sense.

1 Introduction

In 1940, S. M. Ulam gave a wide range of talks at the Mathematics Club of the University of Wisconsin, in which he discussed a number of important unsolved problems. One of them was the following question:

Let G_1 be a group and let G_2 be a group endowed with a metric d . Given $\epsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if a mapping $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality

$$d(h(xy), h(x)h(y)) < \delta,$$

for all $x, y \in G_1$, can we find a homomorphism $\theta : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ such that

$$d(h(x), \theta(x)) < \epsilon,$$

for all $x \in G_1$?

This problem was solved by Hyers for approximately additive mappings on Banach spaces [3]. Rassias generalized, in his work [11], the result obtained by Hyers. Since then the stability of many functional, differential, integral equations have been investigated, see [4], [7], [8], and references there in.

In this paper we shall consider the non-homogeneous volterra integral equation of the first kind

$$x(t) = f(t) + \int_a^t k(t, s)x(s)\Delta s, \quad t \in I_{\mathbb{T}} := [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $f \in C_{rd}(I_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$, $k \in C_{rd}(I_{\mathbb{T}} \times I_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$ and x is the unknown function.

First, we introduce the basic definitions that will be used through out this paper.

Definition 1.1. *The integral equation (1.1) is said to be has Hyers-Ulam stability on $I_{\mathbb{T}}$ if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $\psi \in C_{rd}(I_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying*

$$|\psi(t) - f(t) - \int_a^t k(t, s)\psi(s)\Delta s| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall t \in I_{\mathbb{T}};$$

then there exists a solution φ of equation (1.1) and a constant $C \geq 0$ such that

$$|\varphi(t) - \psi(t)| \leq C\varepsilon, \quad \forall t \in I_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

The constant C is called Hyers-Ulam stability constant for equation (1.1).

Definition 1.2. *The integral equation (1.1) is said to be has Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability, with respect to ω , on $I_{\mathbb{T}}$ if for each $\psi \in C_{rd}(I_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying*

$$|\psi(t) - f(t) - \int_a^t k(t, s)\psi(s)\Delta s| < \omega(t), \quad \forall t \in I_{\mathbb{T}};$$

for some fixed $\omega \in C_{rd}(I_{\mathbb{T}}, [0, \infty))$, then there exists a solution φ of equation (1.1) and a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\varphi(t) - \psi(t)| \leq C\omega(t), \quad \forall t \in I_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

we shall investigate Hyers-Ulam stability and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of integral equation (1.1) on both bounded and unbounded time scales intervals.

2 Hyers-Ulam stability

In this section we investigate Hyers-Ulam stability of equation on $I_{\mathbb{T}} := [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ by using iterative technique.

Theorem 2.1. *The integral equation (1.1) has Hyers-Ulam stability on $I_{\mathbb{T}} := [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$.*

Proof. For given $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $\psi \in C_{rd}(I_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$|\psi(t) - f(t) - \int_a^t k(t, s)\psi(s)\Delta s| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall t \in I_{\mathbb{T}},$$

we consider the recurrence relation

$$\psi_n(t) := f(t) + \int_a^t k(t, s)\psi_{n-1}(s)\Delta s, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (2.1)$$

for $t \in I_{\mathbb{T}}$ with $\psi_0(t) = \psi(t)$. We prove that $\{\psi_n(t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to the unique solution of Equation (1.1) on $I_{\mathbb{T}}$. We write $\psi_n(t)$ as a telescoping sum

$$\psi_n(t) = \psi_0(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n [\psi_i(t) - \psi_{i-1}(t)],$$

so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_n(t) = \psi_0(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [\psi_i(t) - \psi_{i-1}(t)], \quad \forall t \in I_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (2.2)$$

Using mathematical induction we prove the following estimate

$$|\psi_i(t) - \psi_{i-1}(t)| \leq \varepsilon M^{i-1} \frac{(t-a)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!}, \quad \forall t \in I_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (2.3)$$

For $i = 1$ we have

$$|\psi_1(t) - \psi(t)| < \varepsilon.$$

So the estimate (2.3) holds for $i = 1$. Assume that the estimate (2.3) is true for $i = n \geq 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{n+1}(t) - \psi_n(t)| &\leq \int_a^t |k(t, s)| |\psi_n(s) - \psi_{n-1}(s)| \Delta s \\ &\leq M \int_a^t \varepsilon M^{n-1} \frac{(s-a)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} ds \\ &\leq \varepsilon M^n \frac{(t-a)^n}{n!}, \end{aligned}$$

hence the estimate (2.3) is valid for $i = n + 1$. This shows that the estimate (2.3) is true for all $i \geq 1$ on $I_{\mathbb{T}}$.

See that

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_i(t) - \psi_{i-1}(t)| &\leq \varepsilon M^{i-1} \frac{(t-a)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} \\ &\leq \varepsilon M^{i-1} \frac{(b-a)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon M^{i-1} \frac{(b-a)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon \frac{[(M(b-a))]^i}{i!} = \varepsilon e^{M(b-a)}.$$

Applying Weierstrass M-Test, we conclude that the infinite series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [\psi_i(t) - \psi_{i-1}(t)]$$

converges uniformly on $t \in I_{\mathbb{T}}$. Thus from (2.2), the sequence $\{\psi_n(t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly on $I_{\mathbb{T}}$ to some $\varphi(t) \in C_{rd}(I_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$. Next, we show that the limit

of the sequence $\varphi(t)$ is the exact solution of (??). For all $t \in I_{\mathbb{T}}$ and each $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\left| \int_a^t k(t, s) \psi_n(s) \Delta s - \int_a^t k(t, s) \varphi(s) \Delta s \right| \leq M \int_a^t |\psi_n(s) - \varphi(s)| \Delta s.$$

Taking the limits as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we see that the right hand side of the above inequality tends to zero and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^t k(t, s) \psi_n(s) \Delta s = \int_a^t k(t, s) \varphi(s) \Delta s, \quad \forall t \in I_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

By letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of (2.1), we conclude that $\varphi(t)$ is the exact solution of (??) on $I_{\mathbb{T}}$. Then there exists a number N such that $|\psi_N(t) - \varphi(t)| \leq \varepsilon$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi - \varphi| &\leq |\psi(t) - \psi_N(t)| + |\psi_N(t) - \varphi(t)| \\ &\leq |\psi(t) - \psi_1(t)| + |\psi_1(t) - \psi_2(t)| + \cdots + |\psi_{N-1}(t) - \psi_N(t)| + |\psi_N(t) - \varphi(t)| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N |\psi_{i-1}(t) - \psi_i(t)| + |\psi_N(t) - \varphi(t)| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon M^{i-1} \frac{(b-a)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} + |\psi_N(t) - \varphi(t)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon e^{M(b-a)} + \varepsilon = \varepsilon (1 + e^{M(b-a)}) \varepsilon \leq C \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 2.2. We can find an estimate on the difference of two approximate solutions of the integral equation (1.1). Let ψ_1 and ψ_2 are two different approximate solutions to (1.1) that is for some $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$, and for all $t \in I_{\mathbb{T}}$

$$\left| \psi_1(t) - f(t) - \int_a^t k(t, s) \psi_1(s) \Delta s \right| \leq \varepsilon_1, \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\left| \psi_2(t) - f(t) - \int_a^t k(t, s) \psi_2(s) \Delta s \right| \leq \varepsilon_2. \quad (2.5)$$

So

$$|\psi_1(t) - \psi_2(t)| \leq (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) e_M(t, a), \quad \forall t \in I_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

If ψ_1 is an exact solution of equation (1.1), then we have $\varepsilon_1 = 0$.

Proof. Adding the two inequalities (2.4), (2.5) and making use of $|\alpha| - |\beta| \leq |\alpha - \beta| \leq |\alpha| + |\beta|$, we get

$$\left| \psi_1(t) - \psi_2(t) - \int_a^t k(t, s) [\psi_1(s) - \psi_2(s)] \Delta s \right| \leq \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2.$$

$$|\psi_1(t) - \psi_2(t)| - \left| \int_a^t k(t, s)[\psi_1(s) - \psi_2(s)] \right| \leq \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$$

for all $t \in I_{\mathbb{T}}$ where $\varepsilon := \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$.

Put

$$\xi(t) := |\psi_1(t) - \psi_2(t)|, \quad \forall t \in I_{\mathbb{T}},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(t) &\leq \varepsilon + \int_a^t |k(t, s)| \xi(s) \Delta s \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \int_a^t M \xi(s) \Delta s \\ &\leq \varepsilon + e_M(t, a) \int_a^t \varepsilon \frac{M}{e_M(\sigma(s), a)} \Delta s, \end{aligned}$$

where we make an application of Grönwall's inequality in the last step. By Theorem we have

$$\int_a^t \frac{M}{e_M(\sigma(s), a)} \Delta s = - \int_a^t \left[\frac{1}{e_M(s, a)} \right]^\Delta \Delta s = \left(1 - \frac{1}{e_M(t, a)} \right),$$

thus

$$\xi(t) \leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon [e_M(t, a) - 1] = \varepsilon e_M(t, a), \quad \forall t \in I_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

□

3 Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability

In this section we investigate a result concerning Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of equation (1.1) on both $I_{\mathbb{T}} := [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ and unbounded interval $[a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume $\psi \in C_{rd}(I_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying*

$$|\psi(t) - f(t) - \int_a^t k(t, s)\psi(s) \Delta s| < \omega(t), \quad \forall t \in I_{\mathbb{T}},$$

for some fixed $\omega \in C_{rd}(I_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R}_+)$ for which there exists a constant $P \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\int_a^t \omega(s) \Delta s \leq P \omega(t), \quad \forall t \in I_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

Then there exist a unique solution φ of Equation (??) such that

$$|\varphi(t) - \psi(t)| \leq \left(1 + \frac{M}{1 - P} \right) \cdot \omega(t), \quad \forall t \in I_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

Proof. Consider the following iterative scheme

$$\psi_n(t) := f(t) + \int_a^t k(t, s)\psi_{n-1}(s)\Delta s, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (3.1)$$

for $t \in I_{\mathbb{T}}$ with $\psi_0(t) = \psi(t)$. By mathematical induction, it is easy to see that the following estimate

$$|\psi_n(t) - \psi_{n-1}(t)| \leq MP^{n-1}\omega(t), \quad (3.2)$$

holds for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $t \in I_{\mathbb{T}}$. By the same argument as in Theorem 2.1 we prove that the sequence $\psi_n(t)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly on $I_{\mathbb{T}}$ to the unique solution, φ , of the integral equation (1.1). Then there exists a positive integer N such that $|\psi_N(t) - \varphi(t)| \leq w(t)$, $t \in I_{\mathbb{T}}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi - \varphi| &\leq |\psi(t) - \psi_N(t)| + |\psi_N(t) - \varphi(t)| \\ &\leq |\psi(t) - \psi_1(t)| + |\psi_1(t) - \psi_2(t)| + \dots + |\psi_{n-1}(t) - \psi_N(t)| + |\psi_N(t) - \varphi(t)| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^N |\psi_{k-1}(t) - \psi_k(t)| + |\psi_N(t) - \varphi(t)| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^N MP^{k-1}\omega(t) + |\psi_N(t) - \varphi(t)| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^N MP^{k-1}\omega(t) + |\psi_N(t) - \varphi(t)| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} MP^{k-1}\omega(t) + \omega(t) \\ &\leq M \cdot \frac{1}{1-P}\omega(t) + \omega(t) = \left(1 + \frac{M}{1-P}\right)\omega(t), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that (1.1) has Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability on $I_{\mathbb{T}}$. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. Assume that for a family of statements $A(t)$, $t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ the following conditions holds

1. $A(t_0)$ is true.
2. for each right-scattered $t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ we have $A(t) \Rightarrow A(\sigma(t))$.
3. for each right-dense $t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ there is a neighborhood U such that $A(t) \Rightarrow A(s)$ for all $s \in U$, $s > t$.
4. for each left-dense $t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ one has $A(s)$ for all s with $s < t \Rightarrow A(t)$.

Then $A(t)$ is true for all $t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$.

Next, we prove that the integral equation (1.1) has Hyers-Ulam-Rassias on unbounded domains.

Theorem 3.3. Consider the integral equation (??) with $I_{\mathbb{T}} := [a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Let $f \in C_{rd}([a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$ and $k(t, \cdot) \in C_{rd}([a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$ for some fixed $t \in [a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Assume $\psi \in C_{rd}(I_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$\left| \psi(t) - f(t) - \int_a^t k(t, s) \psi(s) \Delta s \right| < \omega(t), \quad t \in I_{\mathbb{T}}; \quad (3.3)$$

where $\omega \in C_{rd}([a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R}_+)$ with the property

$$\int_a^t \omega(\tau) \Delta \tau \leq \lambda \omega(t), \quad \forall t \in [a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (3.4)$$

for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then the integral equation (1.1) has Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability, with respect to ω , on $[a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$.

Proof. We apply the time scale mathematical induction in $[a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ on the following statements

$A(r)$: the integral equation (1.1)

$$x(t) = f(t) + \int_a^t k(t, s) x(s) \Delta s,$$

has Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability, with respect to ω , on $[a, r]_{\mathbb{T}}$.

I. $A(a)$ is trivially true.

II. Let r be a right scattered point and that $A(r)$ holds. That means equation (1.1) has Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability, with respect to ω , on $[a, r]_{\mathbb{T}}$, i.e. for each $\psi : [a, r]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\left| \psi(t) - f(t) - \int_a^t k(t, s) \psi(s) \Delta s \right| < \omega(t), \quad t \in [a, r]_{\mathbb{T}};$$

where $\omega \in C_{rd}([a, r]_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R}_+)$, then there exist a unique solution to equation (1.1) $\varphi_r : [a, r]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|\varphi_r(t) - \psi(t)| \leq C_1 \omega(t), \quad t \in [a, r]_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

We want to prove that $A(\sigma(r))$ is true. Assume that the function ψ satisfies

$$\left| \psi(t) - f(t) - \int_r^t k(t, s) \psi(s) \Delta s \right| < \omega(t), \quad t \in [r, \sigma(r)]_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

Define the mapping $\varphi_{\sigma(r)} : [a, \sigma(r)]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\varphi_{\sigma(r)}(t) = \begin{cases} \varphi_r(t), & t \in [a, r]_{\mathbb{T}}; \\ f(\sigma(r)) + \mu(r)k(\sigma(r), r)\varphi_r(r), & t = \sigma(r). \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\varphi_{\sigma(r)}$ is a solution of (1.1) on $[a, \sigma(r)]_{\mathbb{T}}$. Moreover, on we have

$$|\varphi_{\sigma(r)}(t) - \psi(t)| = \begin{cases} |\varphi_r(t) - \psi(t)|, & t \in [a, r]_{\mathbb{T}}; \\ |f(\sigma(r)) + \mu(r)k(\sigma(r), r)\varphi_r(r) - \psi(\sigma(r))|, & t = \sigma(r). \end{cases}$$

See that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\varphi_{\sigma(r)}(\sigma(r)) - \psi(\sigma(r))| &= |f(\sigma(r)) + \mu(r)k(\sigma(r), r)\varphi_r(r) - \mu(r)k(\sigma(r), r)\psi(r) \\
&\quad + \mu(r)k(\sigma(r), r)\psi(r) - \psi(\sigma(r))| \\
&\leq |f(\sigma(r)) + \mu(r)k(\sigma(r), r)\psi(r) - \psi(\sigma(r))| \\
&\quad + |\mu(r)k(\sigma(r), r)| |\varphi_r(r) - \psi(r)| \\
&\leq \omega(\sigma(r)) + MC_1 \mu(r) \omega(r).
\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$|\varphi_{\sigma(r)}(t) - \psi(t)| \leq \begin{cases} C_1 \omega(t), & t \in [a, r]_{\mathbb{T}}; \\ \omega(\sigma(r)) + MC_1 \mu(r) \omega(r), & t = \sigma(r). \end{cases}$$

III. Let $r \in [a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ be right-dense and U_r be a neighborhood of r . Assume $A(r)$ is true, i.e. for each $\psi : [a, r]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\left| \psi(t) - f(t) - \int_a^t k(t, s) \psi(s) \Delta s \right| < \omega(t), \quad \text{for } t \in [a, r]_{\mathbb{T}},$$

where $\omega \in C_{rd}([a, r]_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R}_+)$, then there exist a unique solution to equation (1.1) $\varphi_r : [a, r]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|\varphi_r(t) - \psi(t)| \leq C_1 \omega(t), \quad \text{for } t \in [a, r]_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

We show that $A(\tau)$ is true for all $\tau \in U_r \cap (r, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$. For $\tau > r$ assume that the function ψ satisfies

$$\left| \psi(t) - f(t) - \int_r^t k(t, s) \psi(s) \Delta s \right| < \omega(t), \quad \text{for } t \in [r, \tau]_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

By Theorem for each $\tau \in U_r$, $\tau > r$, the integral equation

$$x(t) = f(t) + \int_r^t k(t, s) x(s) \Delta s, \quad \text{for } t \in [r, \tau]_{\mathbb{T}},$$

has exactly on solution $\varphi_\tau(\cdot)$. Therefore the mapping $\xi_\tau : [a, \tau]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\xi_s(t) = \begin{cases} \varphi_r(t), & t \in [a, r]_{\mathbb{T}}; \\ \varphi_\tau(t), & t \in [r, \tau]_{\mathbb{T}}. \end{cases}$$

is a solution of the integral equation

$$x(t) = f(t) + \int_a^t k(t, s) x(s) \Delta s, \quad \text{for } t \in [a, \tau]_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

We have

$$|\xi_s(t) - \psi(t)| = \begin{cases} |\varphi_r(t) - \psi(t)|, & t \in [a, r]_{\mathbb{T}}; \\ |\varphi_s(t) - \psi(t)|, & t \in [r, s]_{\mathbb{T}}. \end{cases}$$

For $t \in [r, s]_{\mathbb{T}}$, see that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\varphi_s(t) - \psi(t)| &= \left| f(t) + \int_r^t k(t, \tau) \varphi_s(\tau) \Delta\tau \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \psi(t) + \int_r^t k(t, \tau) \psi(\tau) \Delta\tau - \int_r^t k(t, \tau) \psi(\tau) \Delta\tau \right| \\
&\leq |f(t) + \int_r^t k(t, \tau) \psi(\tau) \Delta\tau - \psi(t)| + \int_r^t |k(t, \tau)| |\varphi_s(\tau) - \psi(\tau)| \Delta\tau \\
&\leq C_1 \omega(t) + M \int_r^t \omega(\tau) \Delta\tau \\
&\leq C_1 \omega(t) + MP \omega(t) = (C_1 + MP) \omega(t).
\end{aligned}$$

IV. Let $r \in (a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ be left-dense such that $A(s)$ is true for all $s < r$. We prove that $A(r)$ by the same argument as in **(III)**. By the induction principle the statement $A(t)$ holds for all $t \in [a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, that means the integral equation (1.1) has Hyers Ulam Rassias stability on $t \in [a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$. $\square$

Now we give an example to show that Hyers Ulam stability of volterra Integral equation (1.1) not necessarily holds on unbounded interval for general time scale.

Example 3.4. *The integral dynamic equation*

$$x(t) = 1 + 5 \int_0^t x(s) \Delta s, \quad t \in [0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}},$$

has exactly one solution $x(t) = e_5(t, 0)$, also we have $x(t) = 0$ as approximate solution. From Bernoulli's inequality [?], we have

$$e_5(t, 0) \geq 1 + 5(t - 0),$$

then we get

$$\sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} |e_5(t, 0) - 0| \geq \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} (1 + 5t) = \infty.$$

Hence, there is no Hyers Ulam stability constant.

References

- [1] C. C. Tisdell, A. H. Zaidi, *Successive approximations to solutions of dynamic equations on time scales*, **16**(1), 2009, 61– 87.
- [2] V. Lakshmikantham, S. Sivasundaram, B. Kaymakalan, *Dynamic Equation on Measure Chains*, Springer, 1996.
- [3] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **27**(4), (1941), 222.

- [4] M. Gachpazan, O. Baghani, *Hyers-Ulam stability of Volterra integral equation*, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. **1**(2), (2010), 1925.
- [5] C. Corduneanu, *Integral equations and applications*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [6] L. P. Castro, A. Ramos, *HyersUlamRassias stability for a class of non-linear Volterra integral equations*, Banach J. Math. Anal., **3**(1), 2009, 36-43.
- [7] S. András, A. R. Mészáros, *UlamHyers stability of dynamic equations on time scales via Picard operators*, Appl. Math. Comput., 219(2013), 4853 - 4864.
- [8] S. M. Jung, *A fixed point approach to the stability of a Volterra integral equation*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2007, Article ID 57064, 9 pages.
- [9] D. R. Anderson, *Hyers-Ulam stability of second-order linear dynamic equations on time scales*, arXiv preprint, arXiv:1008.3726, (2010).
- [10] E. Akin-Bohner, M. Bohner, F. Akin, *Pachpatte inequalities on time scales*, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics, **6**(1), 1-23.
- [11] T. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 72(2)(1978), 297300.